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At each year’s SRD annual meeting, t優秀発表賞は、繁殖生物学研究の活性化をはかるため、日本繁殖生物学会大会で優秀な研究発表（講演）を行った学生や若手研究者に授与される賞です。he Outstanding Presentation Award is given to students and young regular members of SRD who have made excellent research presentations (lectures) that are recognized as activating research on reproductive biology. Experienced m賞の選考に際しては、大会での講演を透明性のある評価基準とシステムによって審査します。embers of SRD and SRD councilors will review and score presentations with transparent evaluation criteria.大会長は、得られた審査結果に基づき受賞者を決定し、学会理事長の承認を得て、大会長と理事長の連名で優秀発表賞（賞状ならびに副賞）を授与します。 The chair of the annual meeting will determine the awardees based on the scores, with the approval of the SRD president. The awardees will receive a certificate and an extra prize under the joint names of the chair and the president.

【優秀発表賞への3つの提言（2003年帯広大会における提言を継承）】 **【Three Recommendations for Winning the Outstanding Presentation Award from the 2003 meeting at Obihiro】**

優秀発表賞は、完全に「開かれた選考」によって受賞者を決定しようとするものです。The awardees of the Outstanding Presentation Award should be decided by a "completely transparent evaluation system." そのためには、選考基準は誰にでも解る明瞭なものが必要です。To realize that, clear evaluation criteria should be prepared and a同時にすべての審査員は、選考理由について明確に説明できなければなりません。ll evaluators should following them when deciding scores. 大会期間中に設定されている二次審査の場では、聴衆は誰もが優秀発表賞の選考に参加している実感をもてるような雰囲気が大切だと考えます。If the audience members evaluate the presentations according to the criteria in the competitive session, this experience will be useful for improving their own presentation skills and revitalizing research activities.以下に、3つのポイントとして優秀発表賞への考え方を記します。 The program committee would like to emphasize the following three points to young scientists who will apply for the award.

1. 研究成果より研究過程を：多くの賞は成果によってのみ判断される傾向があります。Shed light on the research process: Many prizes tend to be judged only by outcome.本優秀発表賞では、むしろ成果に至るまでの過程（アイディア、研究の組み立て方など）を重視することで、研究の進め方に光を当てたいと思います。 The Outstanding Presentation Award, however, focuses on the research process, such as how objectives are approached and the depth of analysis of results in order to derive scientific knowledge.
2. 2) どの研究分野からも応募できる：莫大な予算が必要な基礎研究もある一方で、現場の些細な観察の集積から生まれる研究もあります。Applicable from any reproductive research field: Any scale of research in the field of basic applied or clinical science, technology, etc. is applicable.
3. An easy-to-understand presentation: Members of SRD come from a wide range of fields (from basic to applied/clinical reproduction). The presentation should be 違う分野の人が興味をなくしてしまうような発表スタイルではなく、未知の分野に興味をかきたてられるような、あるいは自分の専門分野との繋がりにはっとするような、わかりやすい発表スタイルを求めています。easy to understand and interest all members.

**【【採点基準】**P**rocess for Determining the Awardees]**

従来、優秀発表賞は口頭発表部門とポスター部門の2つの部門で審査されました。At the 114th annual meeting, competitive presentations will be held in the oral section with two categories: category 1 for student members and category 2 for young regular members (no situation limitation: e.g. salaried or unsalaried, regular staff or not, full time or part time; degree: bachelor, master, or doctor). Applicants to both categories are required to submit a long version abstract (within 3,200 letters in English) for the primary examination that includes the research category (major- and sub-classifications). All the abstracts will be reviewed and scored by around 6 experienced members of SRD who are in the appropriate research field following the **“Criteria for Primary Evaluation.”** The primary evaluation is undertaken anonymously (no names or affiliations of applicants are given).

**講演要旨（口頭発表部門一次審査）Criteria for Primary Evaluation**

●オリジナリティ ● Originality

独創性（15points）：研究対象や仮説、得られた結果の解釈が独創的であるか？Originality in research subjects, hypotheses, and interpretation of the obtained data (15 points)

Creativity in approach to the research purpose, including the experimental methods (15 points)

●イントロダクション ● Introduction

Brief explanation of the background and unknown points in the subject (10 points)

Concise description of the objectives to make clear the unknown points (5 points)

●方法 ● Method

実験計画（5p）：適確で無駄のない計画か？Experimental design (5 points): appropriate design of experiments

実験手法（5p）：信頼できる実験手法か？Experimental method (5 points): relevant methods and techniques applied

方法を十分理解しているか？解析方法（5p）：統計分析を含めて、結果を正しく、目的に沿って解析しているか？Data analysis (5 points): analyzing results correctly and purposefully (statistical analysis is also checked)

●結果と考察 ● Results and Discussion

結果（5p）：結果が分かりやすく提示されているか？Results (5 points): presentation of the data in an easy-to-understand manner

考察（15p）：結果と整合性のある考察か？Discussion (15 points): relevance to the results

●結論 ● Conclusion

全体構成と結論（20p）：設定された目的 および得られた結果 に対して整合性のある結論か？Overall structure and conclusions (20 points): consistency of the conclusion with the purpose and results obtained (balance and accurate descriptions in the abstract are also checked)

Based on the total scores, the chair of the annual meeting will determine 5-6 applicants in each category for the second evaluation. The first authors of all titles will be informed of the results of the evaluation (comments from the reviewers). The first authors of the selected titles will stand on the stage in the competitive oral presentation in the meeting (22nd September). SRD Councilors will review and score all the presentations following the “**Criteria for Oral Presentation**.”

**口頭発表（口頭発表部門二次審査）Criteria for Oral Presentation**

●発表● Lecture (10 min)

研究の質（20p）：質の高い内容か？Quality of research (20 points): significance of the research to the reproductive field口頭発表（20p）：分かりやすい発表か？

Speaking skills (20 points): clear and easy explanations

スライド（10p）：分かりやすいスライドか？Slide design (10 points): well-constructed slides that allow the audience to understand the research

バランス（10p）：各セクション（イントロ、方法など）のバランスBalance between each section (10 points): well-balanced presentation with sections of introduction, method, etc.

要旨との整合性（20p）：要旨とのコーディネーション（要旨と発表内容が補完しあってConsistency with the abstract (20 points): the abstract (regular version) should reflect the contents of the presentation

regular ●質疑応答

● Q & A (5 min)

質問に対する対応（20p）：質問に適確に答えられるか？Response to questions (20 points): appropriate answers to the questions from the audience

The chair of the annual meeting will determine the awardees based on the scores with the approval of the SRD president. The awardees will be announced by the chair in the general meeting held on 23rd September.**【応募資格】**

【Application qualification for award competition】

The first author must be a regular or student member of the SRD at the time of abstract submission. The membership application is here. There are two separate categories (category 1 for student members and category 2 for young regular members) for the award competition. Age of candidates should be 32 or less as of 1st April 2021. No situation limited: e.g., salaried or unsalaried, regular staff or not, full-time or part-time worker, degree (bachelor, master or doctor). The person who engaged the position beside scientific activities can also apply. However, once awarded in the past, he/she cannot apply within the next 2 years. Application with substantially the same research that has previously received an award from any academic societies will not be accepted. The presentation or award will be revoked if you do not meet the application requirement.